

The Hon. Robert S. Lasnik

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LEONARDO VIDAL,

Defendant.

NO. CR24-100-RSL

**ORDER OF FORFEITURE**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Order of Forfeiture ("Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant Leonardo Vidal's interest in the following:

- A sum of money (also known as a forfeiture money judgment) in the amount of \$727,000, reflecting the proceeds Defendant Vidal personally obtained from the offense of *Wire Fraud*, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2.

The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate because:

- 1 • The proceeds of *Wire Fraud*, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2, are
- 2 forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c);
- 3 • In his Plea Agreement, Defendant agreed to forfeit, pursuant to 18 U.S.C.
- 4 § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), the proceeds he obtained from the
- 5 commission of *Wire Fraud*, to which he entered a guilty plea (Dkt. No. 11, ¶ 13);
- 6 • In his Plea Agreement, Defendant admitted he personally obtained proceeds from
- 7 the Wire Fraud of approximately \$727,000 (*Id.*, ¶¶ 8t, 8x, 13).
- 8 • The forfeiture of this sum of money is personal to Defendant Vidal and, pursuant
- 9 to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure (“Fed. R. Crim. P.”) 32.2(c)(1), no third-
- 10 party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.

11  
12 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

13 1) Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and  
14 his Plea Agreement, Defendant Vidal’s interest in a sum of money in the amount of  
15 \$727,000 is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;

16 2) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A) – (B), this Order will become  
17 final as to the Defendant Vidal at the time he is sentenced; it will be made part of the  
18 sentence; and, it will be included in the judgment;

19 3) No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party  
20 other than the United States;

21 4) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this Order forfeiting  
22 the sum of money, in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order,  
23 at any time, to include substitute property having a value not to exceed \$727,000; and

24 ///

25  
26 ///

1           5)     The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing  
2 this Order, as necessary.

3  
4           DATED this 27th day of September, 2024.

5 

6           THE HON. ROBERT S. LASNIK  
7           UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
8  
9

10 Presented by:

11 s/Krista K. Bush

12 KRISTA K. BUSH

13 Assistant United States Attorney

14 United States Attorney's Office

15 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220

16 Seattle, WA 98101

17 (206) 553-2242

18 Fax: (206) 553-6934

19 Krista.Bush@usdoj.gov  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27